

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,693	10/30/2001	Michael D. Lammert	12-1233	2474
30050 75	590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
PATENT COUNSEL, TRW INC. S & E LAW DEPT.			MALDONADO, JULIO J	
ONE SPACE PARK, BLDG. E2/6051			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278			2823	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	a sang si bresi ang ang ng kasa sing ng kang pilang an ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	Annual transition and the property of the contraction of the contraction and the contraction of the contract	A DA
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ New
Advisory Action	10/016,693	LAMMERT, MICHAEL D.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE steen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

8. \square The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examines.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Primary Engineer

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/016,693

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed 11/28/2003 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amended independent claim 1 now adds the limitation "low dielectric" where there was no mention within the claims of this limitation. While this places the application in better condition for allowance, it raises new issues int the prosecution of the instant application and would thus provide grounds for a new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue, "... The Applicant agrees that the Kano patent fails to teach coating of the plated pillars. Paragraph 5 states that the Lin patent discloses "coating said one or more metal pillars with a silicon based dielectric polymer (14)", identified in column 4 of the Lin patent, line 26 et seq., as SOG... SOG has a relatively high dielectric value and thus increases the capacitant and decreases the performance of the device. For these reasons...the Examiner is respectfully requested to 'reconsider and withdraw this rejection...". In response to this argument, instant paragraphs [0028], [0029], [0025] and [0037] of the submitted disclosure teach coating the plated pillars with a silicon-based polymer. Furthermore, the applicants' admitted prior art teaches using silicon-based polymers as a low dielectric constant polymer (instant paragraph [0003]). Therefore, since the SOG layer is a silicon-based polymer, the Lin reference reads upon that limitation..